

THE EVIDENCE REPORT

Vol. 4 No. 1

JAN 2007

Litigation GraphicsSM

2909 COLE AVENUE • SUITE 100 • DALLAS, TEXAS 75204-1304
(214) 951-0032 • www.litigraph.com

Visual Presentation Strategy • Constulation & Design
Serving the Legal Community Since 1988

MEMBER: Demonstrative Evidence Specialists Association

Hal Copeland, Editor

Litigation Graphics Serves Both Sides in Property Case

Court trials were never like this!

Today, when a substantial number of photos, video depositions, letters, transcripts and other documents must be presented at trial, new electronic technology can synchronize all the elements in advance, saving hours of pre-trial and courtroom presentation time.

A Dallas area case called *Hakemy vs. State Bank*, involved a dispute between an investment partnership and a Dallas bank and its former officer. The partnership claimed that his recommendations caused it to make costly but worthless property investments. The partnership claimed they were defrauded and filed suit.

J. Cary Gray of Looper, Reed & McGraw, Houston, represented the partnership, and Donald Colleluori of Figari & Davenport, Dallas, represented the bank.

In a rather unusual development, opposing counsel for both the plaintiff and defense selected **Litigation Graphics** to provide the personnel and technology to serve both parties.



Litigation Graphics president Marsha Drebelbis and technology specialist Guy D. Smith (pictured above) employed Sanction, a leading legal presentation software package, to prepare content and operate the system in association with both attorneys.

The trial lasted several weeks. Thousands of pages and hundreds of documents and video deposition clips were shown.

Eventually the verdict cleared the bank but found the former bank officer liable on one count. Attorneys at both firms acclaimed the electronic presentation system "extremely efficient and understandable to judge and jury."

Attorneys who have used the system suggest:

- 1) "If you need to save pre-trial time, remember that exhibits which previously took an hour to create can now take only seconds."
- 2) "The system can enable you to change strategy in just a moment, and make it look flawless."
- 3) "Those you select for preparation and for operation during the trial itself must be experienced technicians who thoroughly understand the system." ❧

See the
January 2007 Updated List
of Dallas County Judges
on Page 2.

Dallas County Judges: January 2007 Update

CIVIL DISTRICT COURTS

14th Dist Ct — Judge Mary L. Murphy
44th Dist Ct — Judge Carolos Cortez
68th Dist Ct — Judge Martin Hoffman
95th Dist Ct — Judge Karen Gren Johnson
101st Dist Ct — Judge Martin Lowy
116th Dist Ct — Judge Bruce Priddy
134th Dist Ct — Judge Anne Ashby
160th Dist Ct — Judge Jim Jordan
162nd Dist Ct — Judge Lorraine Raggio
191st Dist Ct — Judge Gena Slaughter
192nd Dist Ct — Judge Craig Smith
193rd Dist Ct — Judge Carl Ginsberg
298th Dist Ct — Judge Emily G. Tobolowsky

CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURTS

Crim Dist Ct No. 1 — Judge Robert Burns
Crim Dist Ct No. 2 — Judge Don Adams
Crim Dist Ct No. 3 — Judge Robert Francis
Crim Dist Ct No. 4 — Judge John Coleman Creuzot
Crim Dist Ct No. 5 — Judge Carter Thompson
Crim Dist Ct No. 6 — Judge Jeanine Howard
Crim Dist Ct No. 7 — Judge Mike Snipes
194th Dist Ct — Judge Ernest White
195th Dist Ct — Judge Fred Tinsley
293rd Dist Ct — Judge Lana Rolf McDaniel
204th Dist Ct — Judge Lena Levario
265th Dist Ct — Judge Mark C. Stolz
282nd Dist Ct — Judge Andy Chatham
283rd Dist Ct — Judge Rick Magnis

291st Dist Ct — Judge Susan Hawk
292nd Dist Ct — Judge Larry Mitchell
363rd Dist Ct — Judge Tracy Holmes

COUNTY COURTS AT LAW

County Ct at Law No. 1 — Judge D'Metria Benson
County Ct at Law No. 2 — Judge King Fifer
County Ct at Law No. 3 — Judge Sally Montgomery
County Ct at Law No. 4 — Judge Ken Tapscott
County Ct at Law No. 5 — Judge Mark Greenberg

PROBATE COURTS

Probate Ct No. 1 — Judge Nikki DeShazo
Probate Ct No. 2 — Judge Robert E. Price
Probate Ct No. 3 — Judge Michael E. Miller

COUNTY CRIMINAL COURTS

Crim Ct of App No. 1 — Judge Kristen Wade
Crim Ct of App No. 2 — Judge Jeff Rosenfield
County Crim Ct No. 1 — Judge Dana Patterson
County Crim Ct No. 2 — Judge Lennox Bower
County Crim Ct No. 3 — Judge Doug Skemp
County Crim Ct No. 4 — Judge Teresa Tolle
County Crim Ct No. 5 — Judge Tom Fuller
County Crim Ct No. 6 — Judge Angela M. King
County Crim Ct No. 7 — Judge Elizabeth Crowder
County Crim Ct No. 8 — Judge Jane Roden
County Crim Ct No. 9 — Judge Peggy Hoffman
County Crim Ct No. 10 — Judge Roberto Canas, Jr
County Crim Ct No. 11 — Judge Elizabeth Davis Frizell

COUNTY JUDGE — Jim Foster



Words from the Wise

“All sides in a trial want to hide at least some of the truth.”

— Alan Dershowitz, HARVARD LAW PROFESSOR

“Preparation is the be-all of good trial work. Everything else — felicity of expression, improvisational brilliance — is a satellite around the sun. Thorough preparation is that sun.”

— Louis Niza, U.S. LAWYER

“Law school taught me one thing: how to take two situations that are exactly the same and show how they are different.”

— Hart Pomerantz, U.S. LAWYER

“A government big enough to give you everything you want is a government big enough to take from you everything you have.”

— Gerald R. Ford, 38th U.S. PRESIDENT

ADDRESS SERVICE REQUESTED

2909 COLE AVENUE • SUITE 100 • DALLAS, TEXAS 75204-1304

Litigation Graphics

A newsletter published by

REPORT
THE EVIDENCE